

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/717,596	11/21/2003	Ayae Endo	117625	8683	
25944 759	90 08/12/2005		EXAMINER		
OLIFF & BER P.O. BOX 1992	•		GARRETT, DAWN L		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1774		

DATE MAILED: 08/12/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				l
•	Application	on No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/717,59	96	ENDO ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Dawn Gar	rett	1774	
The MAILING DATE of this communical Period for Reply	tion appears on the	e cover sheet with the c	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communi  - If the period for reply specified above, is less than thirty (30) of the No period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuthen the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply with Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION.  37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evication.  lays, a reply within the stat ory period will apply and w l, by statute, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply be tir utory minimum of thirty (30) day ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. It the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
3) Since this application is in condition for	)⊠ This action is n r allowance except	for formal matters, pro		
closed in accordance with the practice	under Ex parte Qu	layle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-38</u> is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>11-17,22-26,</u> 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-10,18-21,27-29,34,37 and 3</u> 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	30-33,35 and 36 is 38 is/are rejected.		onsideration.	
Application Papers				
<ul> <li>9) The specification is objected to by the E</li> <li>10) The drawing(s) filed on 21 November 2</li> <li>Applicant may not request that any objection</li> <li>Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the</li> <li>11) The oath or declaration is objected to be</li> </ul>	$\frac{2003}{1000}$ is/are: a) $\square$ a on to the drawing(s) be correction is require	oe held in abeyance. Se ed if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority do 2. Certified copies of the priority do 3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the International	ocuments have been been been been the priority documents Bureau (PCT Rul	en received. en received in Applicat ents have been receiv e 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)				
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC 3)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11-21-03.</li> </ol>		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 8 6) Other:		
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)	Office Action Summa	iry Pa	art of Paper No./Mail Date 20050805	2.0.5

Application/Control Number: 10/717,596 Page 2

Art Unit: 1774

# **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This Office action is responsive to applicant's response to the restriction requirement dated May 16, 2005. Applicant elected Group I, claims 1-10, 18-21, 27-29, 34, 37, and 38 with traverse. Applicant states "the search and examination of the entire application could be made without serious burden". The examiner maintains that the nonelected claims have acquired a separate status in the art shown by their separate classification and would require a separate and burdensome search. Accordingly, the restriction is deemed to be proper. Claims 11-17, 22-26, 30-33, 35, and 36 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected group.

### Specification

- 2. It is suggested the title of the application be shortened.
- 3. The brief description of drawings section of the specification discusses "Fig. 17", but does not list separately each of figures 17A, 17B, 17C, 17D, 17E, and 17F. These six drawings are considered to be separate figures and should each be listed. Correction is required.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 20 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Art Unit: 1774

The preamble of claims 20 and 21 recite "The film forming apparatus", but claims 18 and 20 upon which these claims respectively depend are drawn to an electro-optic device. Accordingly, claims 20 and 21 are indefinite, because claims 18 and 20 do not set forth a film forming apparatus. It is suggested that claims 20 and 21 be amended to recite an electro-optical device consistent with their parent claims. Clarification and/or correction are required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- 7. Claims 1-6, 8-10, 18-21, 27-29, 34, 37 and 38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Li (US 6,372,154). Li discloses a luminescent ink composition comprising a luminescent organic compound ("solute"), an inert solvent ("solvent") and a functional additive ("metal deactivator") with regard to claim 1 (see claim 1, col. 15) that are used to form an electroluminescent device (see abstract). The organic luminescent molecule may be a macromolecule such as distyrylbenzene (see claim 3, col. 15) with regard to claim 4. Li discloses the functional additive ("metal deactivator") may comprise triazoles (see claim 9, col. 15). Triazoles are disclosed by applicant in par. 11 of the present specification as metal deactivators. Since Li discloses the same material, triazoles, as applicant, the material is deemed to have inherently the same transparency and color properties as those claimed by applicant with regard to claims 8 and 9.

Art Unit: 1774

Similarly, since the materials disclosed by Li are the same as those specified by applicant, the solubility properties of claim 10 are also deemed to be met in the disclosure by Li.

With regard to claim 29, the devices comprise multiple layers (see col. 12, lines 62-67).

8. Claims 1-10, 18-21, 27-29, 34, 37 and 38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Lamansky et al. (US 2004/0062947) ("Lamansky"). Lamansky discloses organic electroluminescent devices comprising a charge transport matrix (see abstract). The matrix comprises at least one electron transporting material, hole transport materials, additional electron transport materials, inert polymers, hole injecting materials (per the present claim 7), electron injecting materials and the like (see par. 32). The matrix composition is prepared by combining the components of the matrix with a solvent "solvent" (see par. 82). The matrix may comprise triazole derivatives per the "metal deactivator" (see par. 40). ). Triazoles are disclosed by applicant in par. 11 of the present specification as metal deactivators. Since Lamansky discloses the same material, triazoles, as applicant, the material is deemed to have inherently the same transparency and color properties as those claimed by applicant with regard to claims 8 and 9. Similarly, since the materials disclosed by Lamansky are the same as those specified by applicant, the solubility properties of claim 10 are also deemed to be met in the disclosure by Lamansky. The matrix comprises at least one emissive dopant with regard to claims 3, 4, and 28 (see par. 52-53 and abstract).

#### Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dawn Garrett whose telephone number is (571)272-1523. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday.

Art Unit: 1774

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rena Dye can be reached at (571) 272-3186. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Dawn Garrett
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1774

D.G. August 5, 2005